



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,313	03/23/2004	Qinghong Cao	CAO 3-3-12-9	1754
7590 04/16/2007 Manelli Densison & Selter PLLC 7th Floor			EXAMINER	
			WINDER, PATRICE L	
2000 M Street, Washington, D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
wasiington, D	C 20030 3307		2145	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/806,313	CAO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Ünit	,			
	Patrice Winder	2145				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. tely filed the mailing date of this co. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	nuary 2007					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
' =	, 					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 22-27 is/are pending in the application	ı .	•				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		•			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P		•			

Application/Control Number: 10/806,313 Page 2

Art Unit: 2145

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over-Owens et al., USPN 6,633,630 B1 (hereafter referred to as Owens) in view of Thro et al., USPN 6,147,977 (hereafter referred to as Thro).
- 4. Regarding claims 22, 24 and 26, Owens taught a method of alerting a recipient of an electronic message from a given source (column 10, lines 35-39), comprising: identifying a recipient of a received electronic message (column 9, lines 21-31);

Application/Control Number: 10/806,313

Art Unit: 2145

identifying a source of said received electronic message (column 11, lines 62-67, column 15, lines 18-39);

comparing said source of said electronic message to a predefined list of sources for which to alert said recipient by telephone (column 16, lines 23-37); and

if said source is identified in said predefined list of sources for which to alert said recipient by telephone, dialing a telephone number for said recipient and telephonically alerting said recipient of said received electronic message from a predefined source (column 11, lines 62-67, column 12, lines 22-25). Owens does not specifically teach comparing a current time to a predefined time at which to alert said recipient by telephone and dialing a telephone number if said current time corresponds to said predetermined time at which to alert said recipient. Thro taught, in the same field of endeavor, comparing a current time to a predefined time (time of day priority matrix 82) at which to alert a recipient by telephone (column 6, lines 23-41) and dialing a telephone number if said current time corresponds to said predetermined time at which to alert said recipient (column 5, lines 22-25; column 9, lines 14-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that incorporating Thro's time of day matrix in Owens's system for integrated communications would have improved message routing. The motivation would have been to further filter incoming messages based on ser preferences.

5. Regarding dependent claims 23, 25 and 27, Owens taught wherein said electronic message is an email message (column 11, lines 62-67).

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-27 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrice Winder whose telephone number is 571-272-3935. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30 am-7:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,313 Page 5

Art Unit: 2145

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Cardone can be reached on 571-272-3933. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrice Winder
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2145

April 10, 2007